

Author Profile: Peng GUO (1977-), male, PhD, Senior Engineer, Research Interests:
Optimisation of HVAC Systems and Application of New Energy Sources

Comparative Analysis of Carbon Emissions in the Operation Phase of Different HVAC Systems

Peng GUO

Beijing Tianhong Huichen Management Consulting Co., Ltd., Beijing, China 1547263410@qq.com

Correspondence: 1547263410@qq.com 08613811538665 Peng GUO

Abstract:

This paper provides a comparative analysis of the energy consumption and carbon emissions of four typical HVAC systems (water-cooled chiller + gas boiler, ground source heat pump, air source heat pump, and variable refrigerant flow units) in the operation phase. The carbon emission performance of different HVAC systems in operation is quantitatively evaluated by establishing a building carbon emission model and a carbon emission calculation method for HVAC systems, combined with specific calculation examples. The results of the study show that the ground source heat pump system has a clear advantage in terms of carbon emissions, followed by the variable refrigerant flow system, while the carbon emissions of the water-cooled chiller + gas boiler system are similar to those of the air source heat pump unit. This study provides theoretical basis and data support for the energy-saving and low-carbon selection of building HVAC systems.

Keywords: HVAC system; carbon emission; energy consumption

0 INTRODUCTION

Along with the increasing severity of global climate change, in September 2020, China proposed that carbon dioxide emissions strive to peak with by 2030, and strive to achieve the dual-carbon goal of carbon neutrality by 2060. Energy saving and emission reduction in the building industry is also of great concern. HVAC systems, as a major component of building energy consumption, account for a sizable proportion of carbon emissions during the operation phase in the whole life cycle of a building. In public buildings, the proportion of HVAC energy consumption is about 40~50% ^[1], and the corresponding carbon emissions are also very considerable. Therefore, scientific analysis and comparison of carbon emissions during the operation phase of different types of HVAC systems is of great significance in promoting the low-carbon development of the building industry. This study aims to establish a scientific building carbon emission model, propose a carbon emission calculation method for HVAC systems, and comparatively analyze the energy consumption and carbon emission performance of four typical systems (water-cooled chiller+gas boiler system, ground source heat pump system, air source heat pump system, and variable refrigerant flow units) in the operation phase through specific examples. It provides reference for HVAC designers and owners in selecting low-carbon HVAC systems, and also provides reference for the selection of HVAC systems for public buildings under the background of “dual-carbon”.

1 Building Carbon Calculation Model

The Building Carbon Model (BCM) is a quantitative tool for assessing the environmental impacts of HVAC systems. The model takes into account multiple factors such as building characteristics, climate conditions, system performance and energy mix. Based on the principle of dynamic energy balance, the model calculates the cooling and heating loads of the building on a time-by-time basis, and then determines the energy demand of the HVAC system.

The carbon emission calculation adopts the “emission factor method”, i.e. the system energy consumption is multiplied by the carbon emission factor of the corresponding energy source.

1.1 Carbon Emission Process Analysis

Building carbon emissions ^[2] is the sum of greenhouse gas emissions produced by a building during the production and transportation of building materials, construction and demolition, and operation phases of the building, expressed in carbon dioxide equivalent. The calculation of building carbon emissions is usually based on a single building or a cluster of buildings on a campus, and accounts for the equivalent carbon dioxide emissions over its entire life cycle. The Carbon Emission Factor Method is a commonly used method to calculate the carbon emissions of each phase of a building by counting the amount of energy consumed by each activity, the type of energy and its corresponding carbon emission factor. Due to the differences between the actual operating conditions and the design conditions, the estimation of energy consumption by this method may have a large deviation, which leads to significant inconsistencies in the results of carbon emission calculations. In order to improve the reliability and comparability of the calculation results between different projects, therefore, GB/T 51366-2019 “Building Carbon Emission Calculation Standard” makes uniform provisions for the operational characteristics of different types of buildings and clarifies the calculation prerequisites to ensure that the carbon emission accounting of the same type of buildings is under a consistent benchmark ^[3].

According to the actual carbon emissions of the building, the formula for calculating carbon emissions is shown in equation (1):

$$\Delta C_J = C_{JC} + C_{JZ} + C_M + C_{CC} - C_P \quad (1)$$

ΔC_J : building-equivalent carbon emissions over the full life cycle, tCO_2

C_{JC} : carbon emissions during the production and transportation of building materials, tCO_2

C_{JZ} : carbon emissions during the construction phase of the building, tCO_2

C_M : carbon emissions during the operation of the building, tCO_2

C_{CC} : carbon emissions during the dismantling of a building, tCO_2

C_P : Carbon sequestration in building green spaces, tCO_2

The calculation of carbon emissions over the whole life cycle of a building involves a wide range of aspects, especially the lack of detailed and accurate data on building materials and construction. In this paper, we only calculate and analyze the carbon emissions of the HVAC operation phase in the building.

2 Calculation of carbon emissions from HVAC system

2.1 Carbon Emission Composition of HVAC System

Referring to the current status quo of HVAC system design in public buildings, four typical air-conditioning cooling and heat source programs are selected, which are as follows: Plan I is a combination of water-cooled chiller+gas boiler; Plan II is a soil-source heat pump system; Plan III is an air-cooled heat pump system; and Plan IV is a multi-connected unit system. Summer cooling and winter heating, the end of the fan coil + fresh air way, multi-connected using multi-connected internal + fresh air way. Both hot and cold water systems use variable flow systems.

The building's HVAC system is mainly composed of cold and hot sources, transmission and distribution systems, and end parts, and the system carbon emissions are mainly analyzed and compared from these three aspects. Neglect the carbon emission of air-conditioning water consumption system, self-control system and so on. Neglect carbon sequestration by building green space carbon sinks.

Figure 1 Carbon sources during the operational phase of the HVAC system

| Plans | Systems | Type of equipments | Operating carbon sources |
|----------|--------------------------------|---|---|
| plan I | Cooling and heating equipments | Electric chillers Gas boilers Cooling towers | Electricity, refrigerants Gas Electricity, water supply |
| | Piping distribution systems | Chilled water circulation pumps | Electricity |
| | | Cooling water circulation pumps | Electricity |
| | | Constant pressure make-up pumps Heat water circulation pumps | Electricity, water supply Electricity |
| plan II | Terminal equipments | Fan coil units Outdoor air equipments | Electricity Electricity |
| | Cooling and heating equipments | Ground source heat pumps | Electricity, refrigerant, renewable energy |
| | Piping distribution systems | User-side circulating pumps Ground source-side circulating pumps | Electricity Electricity |
| | | Constant-pressure make-up water pumps | Electricity, water supply |
| plan III | Terminal equipments | Fan coil units Outdoor air equipments | Electricity Electricity |
| | Cooling and heating equipments | Air source heat pumps | Electricity, refrigerant |
| | Piping distribution | Chilled water circulating pumps | Electricity |

| | | | |
|---------|--------------------------------|--|----------------------------|
| | systems | Constant-pressure make-up water pumps | Electricity, water supply |
| | Terminal equipments | Fan coil units Outdoor air equipments | Electricity Electricity |
| plan IV | Cooling and heating equipments | Variable refrigerant flow units | Electricity, refrigerant |
| | Terminal equipments | Multiple indoor fan-coil units Outdoor air equipments | Electricity Electricity |

2.2 Carbon emissions calculation for HVAC systems

2.2.1 Carbon emissions calculation formula for the operational phase

Referring to GB/T 51366-2019 <Standard for building carbon emission calculation> carbon emissions factor method, the carbon emissions calculation formula for the operational phase of a building is as follows:

$$C_M = \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (E_i * EF_i) - C_p \right] * y \quad (2)$$

$$E_i = \sum_{j=1}^n (E_{ij} - ER_{ij}) \quad (3)$$

E_i : Annual consumption of energy type i, a

EF_i : Carbon emission factor of energy type i

E_{ij} : Consumption of energy type i in system type j, a

ER_{ij} : Amount of energy type i provided by renewable energy in system type j, a

i : Type of renewable energy in the system, including electricity, natural gas, fuel oil, and thermal energy

j : Type of system equipment, see Figure 1

y : Design service life of the building, a

2.2.2 Energy consumption calculation formula for HVAC systems

The equivalent full load operating time method ^[4] is used to calculate the energy consumption of each component of the HVAC system.

2.2.2.1 Definition of equivalent full load operating time

Equivalent full load operating time: The ratio of the total annual air conditioning cooling load (or heating load) to the maximum output of the refrigeration unit (or boiler).

That is:

$$\tau_{E.R} = q_c / q_R \quad (4)$$

$$\tau_{E.B} = q_h / q_B \quad (5)$$

$\tau_{E.R}$: Equivalent full load operating time in summer, h

q_c : Annual air conditioning cooling load, kJ/a

q_R :Maximum output of cooling machine, kJ/h

$\tau_{E.B}$:Equivalent full load operating time in winter, h

q_h :Annual air conditioning heating load, kJ/a

q_B : Maximum output of boiler, kJ/h

2.2.2.2 Load factor

Load factor: The ratio of the total annual air conditioning cooling load (or heating load) to the total maximum output of the refrigeration machine (or boiler) during the cumulative operating time.

That is:

$$\varepsilon_R = q_c / (q_R * T_R) \quad (6)$$

$$\varepsilon_B = q_h / (q_B * T_B) \quad (7)$$

ε_R :Summer cooling load factor

T_R : Cumulative operating time of equipment in summer, h

ε_B : Winter heating load factor

T_B :Cumulative operating time of equipment in winter, h

Therefore:

$$\varepsilon_R = \tau_{E.R} / T_R \quad (8)$$

$$\varepsilon_B = \tau_{E.B} / T_B \quad (9)$$

2.2.2.3 Calculation of annual energy consumption for air conditioning

Power consumption of chillers:

$$P_R = \left(\sum P_{R.N} \right) * T_R * \varepsilon_R = \left(\sum P_{R.N} \right) * \tau_{E.R} \quad (10)$$

Power consumption of chilled water pumps and cooling water pumps:

Constant flow :

$$P_P = \left(\sum P_{P.N} \right) * T_P \quad (11)$$

Variable flow:

$$P_P = \left(\sum P_{P.N} \right) * T_P * (\varepsilon_R + \alpha_R) \quad (12)$$

$$\alpha_R = (1 - \varepsilon_R) / n \quad (13)$$

Cooling tower power consumption:

Full operation:

$$P_{CT} = \left(\sum P_{CT.N} \right) * T_{CT} \quad (14)$$

Partial operation:

$$P_{CT} = \left(\sum P_{CT.N} \right) * T_{CT} * (\varepsilon_R + \alpha_R) \quad (15)$$

Power consumption of terminal equipments:

Constant air volume:

$$P_F = \left(\sum P_{F.N} \right) * T_F \quad (16)$$

Variable air volume:

$$P_F = \left(\sum P_{F.N} \right) * T_F * (\varepsilon' + \alpha') \quad (17)$$

$$\varepsilon' = (\varepsilon_R * T_R + \varepsilon_B * T_B) / (T_R + T_B) \quad (18)$$

$$\alpha' = (1 - \varepsilon') / n \quad (19)$$

Boiler fuel consumption:

One unit:

$$Q_{fB} = q_{fB.N} * T_B * \varepsilon_B = q_{fB.N} * \tau_{E.B} \quad (20)$$

Two or more units:

$$Q_{fB} = \sum q_{fB.N} * T_B * (\varepsilon_B + \alpha_B) \quad (21)$$

$$\alpha_B = (1 - \varepsilon_B) / n \quad (22)$$

$P_{R.N}$: Rated power of the chiller units, kW

$P_{P.N}$: Rated power of the chilled water pumps and cooling water pumps, kW

$P_{CT.N}$: Rated power of the cooling towers, kW

$P_{F.N}$: Rated power of the terminal equipments, kW

$q_{fB.N}$: Fuel consumption of the boilers at rated output, t/h or m³/h

T_R : Cumulative operating time of chillers, h

T_P : Cumulative operating time of chilled water pumps and cooling water pumps, h

T_{CT} : Cumulative operating time of cooling towers, h

T_F : Cumulative operating time of terminal ventilation equipments, h

T_B : Cumulative operating time of boilers, h

n : Number of units

3 Calculation Case

3.1 Project Parameters

A comprehensive office building in Beijing with a total floor area of approximately 47,000 m², comprising 17 above-ground floors and 2 underground floors. Total cooling load: 4,200 kW; total heating load: 2,300 kW.

3.2 Different Operating Scenarios for the HVAC System

The cooling operation period is from June to September, with daily operation hours from 8 AM to 6 PM. The heating operation period is from 15 November to 15 March of the following year, with daily operation hours from 8 AM to 6 PM. The average monthly operating time is 25 days.

The cumulative operating time for summer cooling is 1,000 hours, and the cumulative operating time for winter heating is 1,000 hours. The equivalent full-load operating time is derived from the empirical statistical data compiled by Japanese researcher Toshio Ojima. For

office buildings, the full-load operating time in summer is 560 hours, and in winter it is 480 hours. ^[4]

Among the four HVAC system schemes, the performance coefficient of the units is selected to meet at least a 2-level energy efficiency rating according to relevant standards. Centrifugal water-cooled chillers are selected, with a performance coefficient of no less than 6.2^[5], and gas boilers with a thermal efficiency of no less than 92%^[6]; the soil-source heat pump unit has an annual comprehensive performance coefficient of no less than 5.1^[6]; the air-source heat pump uses a low-temperature air-source heat pump (chilled water) unit with an annual comprehensive performance coefficient of no less than 3.1^[6]; the multi-split system uses an air-cooled multi-split air conditioning (heat pump) unit with an annual performance coefficient of no less than 3.5^[6]. Equipment is selected based on manufacturer catalogues, with performance coefficients meeting or exceeding the above requirements. As shown in the table below:

Figure 2: Detailed list of equipment power and number of units

| Parameters | Plan I | Plan II | Plan III | Plan IV |
|-------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| Cooling equipments | Chillers | Ground source heat pumps | Air source heat pumps | Variable refrigerant flow units |
| Heating equipments | Gas boiler | Ground source heat pumps | Air source heat pumps | Variable refrigerant flow units |
| Performance coefficient | EER:6.3 Boiler thermal efficiency:92% | EER:6.6 COP:5.0 | EER:3.33 COP:2.82 | EER:3.6 COP:3.3 |
| Energy | Electricity + Gas | Electricity | Electricity | Electricity |
| Terminal equipments | Fan coil unist + outdoor air equipments | Fan coil units + outdoor air equipments | Fan coil units + outdoor air equipments | Multi indoor fan-coil units+outdoor air equipments |
| Cooling equipments | 334kW, 2 units | 347kW, 2 units | 254kW, 5 units | 15.75kW, 75 units |
| Heating equipments | 123.5m ³ /h, 2 units | 464kW, 1 unit | 220kW, 4 units | 20kW, 37 units |
| Chilled water pumps | 55kW, 2 units | 55kW, 2 units | 55kW, 2 units | 0 |
| Cooling water pumps | 55kW, 2 units | 55kW, 2 units | 0 | 0 |
| Cooling towers | 20kW, 2 units | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Heat water pumps | 11kW,1 unit 30kW,2 units | 55kW,1 unit 55kW,1 unit | 30kW, 2 units | 0 |
| Fan coil units | Cooling capacity 5kW, rated power 0.053kW, | Cooling capacity 5kW, rated power | Cooling capacity 5kW, rated power | 0 |

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | 504 units | 0.053kW, 504 units | 0.053kW, 504 units | |
| Multiple indoor fan-coil units | 0 | 0 | 0 | Cooling capacity 5kW, rated power 0.071kW, 504 units |
| Outdoor air equipments | 1.5kW, 17 units | 1.5kW, 17 units | 1.5kW, 17 units | 2.6kW, 17 units |

Note: ① In Plan I, during winter heating, the gas boiler and terminal equipment are connected indirectly, with a plate heat exchanger added in between. The primary side uses a supply and return water temperature difference of 20°C and one circulation pump; the secondary side uses a supply and return water temperature difference of 5°C and two circulation heat water pumps.

② In Plan II, both the user side and ground source side pumps operate simultaneously in both winter and summer. In summer, two pumps operate simultaneously, while in winter, one pump operates.

③ Plan III and IV account for heating efficiency degradation in Beijing during winter.

④ Fan coil units or Multiple indoor fan-coil units bear 60% of the system's cooling or heating load. Outdoor air systems bear the remaining 40% of the load.

⑤ Fresh air units are considered at a rate of one per floor. In Scheme 4, the fresh air units are fluorine-based fresh air units.

3.3 HVAC System Energy Consumption Statistics

The chillers operate at fixed frequency, with a full-load operation equivalent time of 560 hours in summer. The chilled water pumps, cooling water pumps, and cooling towers operate at variable frequency. During winter, the gas boilers operate at full load for an equivalent time of 480 hours, and the heat water pumps operate at variable frequency. The terminal fan coil units and outdoor air equipments all operate at fixed frequency. Equipment power is based on manufacturer specifications. The statistics are summarised in the figure below:

Figure 3 HVAC System Energy Consumption Statistics by Operating Stage and Unit Time

| Parameters | Plan I | Plan II | Plan III | Plan IV |
|---|--------|---------|----------|---------|
| Total power of chillers, kW | 668 | 694 | 1270 | 1182 |
| Total power of heat pumps, kW | 0 | 464 | 880 | 740 |
| Gas consumption, m ³ /h | 247 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total power of chilled pumps, kW | 110 | 110 | 110 | 0 |
| Total power of cooling pumps, kW | 110 | 110 | 0 | 0 |
| Total power of cooling towers, kW | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total power of heat water pumps, kW | 71 | 110 | 60 | 0 |
| Total power of fan coil units, kW | 29 | 29 | 29 | 0 |
| Total power of multiple indoor fan-coil units, kW | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| Total power of outdoor air equipments, kW | 26 | 26 | 26 | 44 |

Calculations were performed separately using the full load equivalent operating time method. See the table below:

Figure 4 Summary of total energy consumption of HVAC systems

| Parameters | Plan I | Plan II | Plan III | Plan IV |
|---|--------|---------|----------|---------|
| Total power of chillers, kW | 374080 | 388640 | 711200 | 661920 |
| Total power of heat pumps, kW | 0 | 222720 | 422400 | 355200 |
| Gas consumption, m ³ /h | 182780 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total power of chilled pumps, kW | 85800 | 85800 | 85800 | 0 |
| Total power of cooling pumps, kW | 85800 | 85800 | 0 | 0 |
| Total power of cooling towers, kW | 31200 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total power of heat water pumps, kW | 52540 | 81400 | 44400 | 0 |
| Total power of fan coil units, kW | 58000 | 58000 | 58000 | 0 |
| Total power of multiple indoor fan-coil units, kW | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72000 |
| Total power of outdoor air equipments, kW | 52000 | 52000 | 52000 | 52000 |
| Total electricity consumption, kWh/a | 739420 | 974360 | 1373800 | 1141120 |
| Total gas consumption, m ³ /a | 182780 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

In accordance with the requirements of GB/T 51350-2019 <Technical standard for nearly zero energy buildings>, energy consumption is converted into primary energy consumption in terms of standard coal. The conversion factor for natural gas energy is $9.85(kWh)/(m^3_{\text{terminal}})$, and the conversion factor for electricity energy is $2.6(kWh)/(kWh_{\text{terminal}})$. The converted energy consumption analysis is presented in the table below.

Table 5 Comparison of various schemes converted to primary energy consumption

| Parameters | Plan I | Plan II | Plan III | Plan IV |
|---|---------|---------|----------|---------|
| Total electricity consumption, kWh/a | 739420 | 974360 | 1373800 | 1141120 |
| Total gas consumption, m ³ /a | 182780 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Electricity energy conversion factor, $(kWh)/(kWh_{\text{terminal}})$ | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Gas energy conversion factor, $(kWh)/(m^3_{\text{terminal}})$ | 9.85 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Primary energy consumption of electricity, kWh/a | 1922492 | 2533336 | 3571880 | 2966912 |
| Gas primary energy consumption, kWh/a | 1800383 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total energy consumption, kWh/a | 3722875 | 2533336 | 3571880 | 2966912 |
| Energy saving rate (compared with Plan I), % | - | -31.95% | -4.06% | -20.31% |

3.4 Carbon Emissions Calculation During the Operation Phase of HVAC

Systems

Plan I uses two types of energy sources: electricity and natural gas. The other three plans all use electricity as the energy source for cooling and heating. The carbon footprint factor

data for electricity in 2023, as announced by the Ministry of Ecology and Environment of the People's Republic of China on 21 January 2025, provides the national average carbon footprint factor for electricity in 2023, which is $0.6205 \text{ kgCO}_2\text{e/kWh}$

Table 6 Carbon Footprint Emissions During the Operation Phase of HVAC Systems

| Parameters | Plan I | Plan II | Plan III | Plan IV |
|---|---------|---------|----------|---------|
| Total carbon emissions,kg | 2310044 | 1571935 | 2216352 | 1840969 |
| Carbon emission reduction rate (compared to Plan I), % | - | -31.95% | -4.06% | -20.31% |

4 Conclusion

A carbon emissions analysis of different design schemes for HVAC systems in office buildings during the operational phase shows that using high-efficiency units is beneficial for building carbon reduction. Using ground-source heat pump units for cooling and heating is more energy-efficient than using chiller units + gas boilers, with a carbon reduction rate 31.95% higher. When compared to the air-source heat pump scheme, the chiller unit plus gas boiler scheme has a similar overall carbon emission level, with the air-source heat pump scheme being slightly lower. The multi-split system is more energy-efficient than the chiller unit plus gas boiler scheme, achieving a 20.31% higher carbon reduction rate. The HVAC system using a ground-source heat pump system achieves the best energy-saving effects and carbon reduction, so it is recommended to actively promote ground-source heat pump systems and other renewable energy HVAC systems.

This paper only analyses and compares the energy consumption and carbon emissions during the operation phase of building HVAC systems. In actual design, it is also necessary to comprehensively consider the carbon emissions throughout the entire lifecycle of the building, as well as requirements for comfort and economics, to scientifically select the appropriate HVAC solution.

References:

- [1] GB 50189-2015, Design standard energy efficiency of Public Buildings [S]
- [2] GB/T 51366-2019, Standard for building carbon emission calculation [S]
- [3] Tang Xinshao, Budget analysis on carbon emission of building HVAC System in design [J], INTELLIGENT CITY,2020(11) 11-14
- [4] Lu Yaoqing, Using the Heating and Air Conditioning Design Manual [M], 2nd Edition, China Architecture & Building Press, 2008
- [5] GB 55015-2021, General code for energy efficiency and renewable energy application in buildings [S]
- [6] GB 19577-2024, Minimum allowable values of the energy efficiency and energy efficiency grades for heat pumps and water chillers [S]